

Message

From: Christensen, Krista [Christensen.Krista@epa.gov]
Sent: 7/24/2013 8:47:43 PM
To: Christensen, Carol [Christensen.Carol@epa.gov]
CC: Persad, Amanda [Persad.Amanda@epa.gov]; Scott, Cheryl [Scott.Cheryl@epa.gov]; Hawkins, Belinda [Hawkins.Belinda@epa.gov]; Glenn, Barbara [Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: A couple more details on formaldehyde review
Attachments: FormaldehydeTRdraft070113forREVIEW.docx; FormaldehydeAppendixdraft070113forREVIEW.docx

Hi Carol,

I just heard from Belinda that you had graciously agreed to review the dose-response section (chapter 2 in the attached draft tox review, appendices in separate doc attachment) – thank you so much! The dose-response is described in section 2.1.1 (non-cancer) and 2.2.1; I believe there is both animal and human data discussed, obviously we would ask you to focus on modeling of the human data. Barbara, please feel free to add if there are more specific issues/sections you feel need more focused/thorough review.

Regarding timeline – we haven't got a set date, but as you know the turnaround time is um, short. Perhaps once you've had a chance to look through the draft, you may have a better idea of how long you may need to review and we can work from there?

Thank you!
Krista

From: Christensen, Krista
Sent: Tuesday, July 16, 2013 11:43 AM
To: Christensen, Carol
Cc: Persad, Amanda; Scott, Cheryl
Subject: A couple more details on formaldehyde review

Hi Carol,

I think Cheryl spoke with you for a bit more detail on the formaldehyde TR, and I wanted to add a couple of notes. The dose-response section is 111 pages but some of it is the animal modeling (which you would not need to review, as this is being done by the SWG). I've also attached the NAS review of the assessment, so you can get an idea of the types of comments that the revision needs to address (pp 131 – 146 has most of the specific comments on DR modeling).

Thanks,
Krista